



CRM-M-4543-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-4543-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Satpal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest
bail to the petitioners in FIR No.296 dated 14.08.2024 (P-1), under Sections
420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*for short*
'IPC'), registered at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari.

(2) Allegations are that petitioners without clearing the loan forged
the documents; committed cheating and misappropriating the bank funds.

(3) Status report by way of affidavit dated 12.03.2025 of
Dr. Ravinder, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarter, Rewari,
District Rewari on behalf of respondent has been filed and the same is taken
on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

(4) Contends that petitioners were granted interim bail by this
Court, vide order dated 28.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, they have



CRM-M-4543-2025

2

already joined the investigation; hence, their custodial interrogation is not required.

(5) The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijender Singh and submits that their custodial interrogation is not required.

(6) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(7) It transpires that petitioners were granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 28.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends, inter alia, that civil suit for recovery of amount in question is already pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Rewari.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.

Posted for 17.03.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioners shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer, but they be not arrested till the next date of hearing. ”

(8) It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

(9) In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 28.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(10) It is also made clear that petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(11) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

(12) It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of

CRM-M-4543-2025

3

petitioners, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

17.03.2025

Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No