

2025:PHHC:013221-DB

LPA-244-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2025

Narinder Kumar

...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the appellant.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The appellant assails the order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 16.01.2025, wherein the learned Single Judge, has noticed as under:-

“Since the petitioner has an efficacious remedy for redressal of the grievance raised herein, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition, and it stands disposed of by reserving liberty for him to approach the Educational Tribunal.”

2. Learned counsel submits that the dispute is not between the management and the employee but even the State Authorities are involved.

3. We find that the scope examining the inter se seniority of the petitioner vis-à-vis the concerned persons, who have been promoted, can be looked into by the Educational Tribunal completely without any difficulty.

4. In view thereto, the order passed by the learned Single Judge does not warrant any interference from this Court and the appeal is accordingly dismissed. We further observe that if the appellant prefers an appeal before the concerned Educational Tribunal/District Judge, the same shall be decided expeditiously preferably within a period of six months.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

29.01.2025
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No