



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRA-S-287-2025

Date of decision :27.03.2025

KAUSHAL

..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present :- Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal under Section 14(A) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities Act) against the impugned order dated 17.12.2024 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, whereby the bail application filed by the present appellant was ordered to be dismissed in case FIR No.75 dated 04.03.2024 under Sections 323, 325, 308, 506, 34 IPC & Sections 3(1) (s) & 3(2)(Va) SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station Israna, Panipat.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 10.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-



“Learned counsel for the appellant contends that even though, the appellant has been named in the FIR, but he has been simply shown to be present at the place of occurrence and no specific injury has been attributed to him. Learned counsel further contends that as per statement of the complainant, other accused had collectively caused injuries to Jaswant, nephew of the complainant and even the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Learned counsel further submits that Nettarpal, principal accused in the present case was arrested by the police and has already been granted the concession of bail. By referring to the order (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court, learned counsel submits that similarly placed co-accused, namely Ankit has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, vide order dated 13.08.2024 passed in CRA-S-2490-2024. The appellant is ready to join the investigation in the present case. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that Jaswant, injured has suffered two fractures on his person and the appeal deserves to be dismissed by this Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 10.02.2025 is made absolute. The



CRA-S-287-2025

3

appellant shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

27.03.2025
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No