

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[135]

CWP-2032-2025**Date of Decision: 27.01.2025**

SAMARJEET SINGH LAICHA

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Harinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
Mr.P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Advocate,
Mr. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate for the respondent-HSVP.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Admittedly, the subject lands were acquired through an Award become rendered on 06.10.1994.

2. It is also conceded by the learned Addl.Advocate General that the petitioner *prima facie* was entitled to seek a site alternate to the acquisition of the subject lands, but under the oustee quota. He further submits that when the respondent(s) concerned, had earlier published advertisement(s) inviting applications under the said quota, but there was no response to such an advertisement(s) at the instance of the present petitioner, whereas, the apposite allotments to the present petitioner but was permissible only when he responded to the said advertisement, through his making an application.



3. The learned Addl. Advocate General Haryana, submits that since an advertisement is likely to be published within a period of three weeks, therefore, the present petitioner is permitted to respond to the said advertisement. In case the present petitioner responds to the said advertisement through his filing an application before the competent authority, thererebys, the respondent(s) concerned, is directed to, in accordance with the relevant policy, consider the allotments of plots at the alternative site being made, but against the oustee quota and also subject to his eligibility.
4. The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

27.01.2025
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No