



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

140

CRM-M-3947-2025(O&M)

Decided on :23.01.2025

Satnam Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 0177 dated 05.12.2024, under Sections 333, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS was added later on), registered at Police Station Lalru, District SAS Nagar, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner and the other co-accused namely; Balkar Singh and Shubham Kumar, to whom similar roles were assigned, have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 14.01.2025(P-2) passed in CRM-M-1559 of 2025 and order dated 21.01.2025(P-3) passed in CRM-M-2853-2025, respectively.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the main role of causing of the grievous injury has been attributed to co-accused namely;



CRM-M-3947-2025(O&M)

- 2 -

Bikram Singh, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali vide order dated 27.12.2024 (P-4).

4. By adding to the submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is not the case of the prosecution that the said injury was grievous. Moreover, except of Section 333 of BNS Act (452IPC) all other offences are bailable, thus prays for allowing of the present petition.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating officer and the petitioner also undertakes to appear before the Investigating agency/Court as and when called for.

6. Notice of motion.

7. On advance notice, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, appears and submits that there are several injuries suffered by the complainant-party and looking at the conduct of the petitioner and his other co-accused, the present petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. The stick allegedly used in the crime is also required to be recovered from him. However, there is no denial by learned State counsel that already the co-accused namely, Balkar Singh and Shubham Kumar, to whom similar role has been attributed, have already been granted bail by this Court and also the main accused namely; Bikram Singh, has been granted the regular bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab.

**CRM-M-3947-2025(O&M)**

- 3 -

7. In view of the above, this Court finds that once the main accused has already been granted bail, primarily for causing a grievous injury, no purpose would be served by the custodial interrogation of the petitioner, Therefore, in the totality of facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed.

8. Accordingly, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, Act, 2023.

9. Besides, petitioner would submit/surrender his passport before the Arresting Officer and an undertaking on oath that in case of leaving the country, he would seek prior permission from the investigating Agency/concerned Court.

10. Disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025*Rashmi**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**Whether Reportable: Yes/No*