



CR-201-2021(O&M)

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

290

CR-201-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.09.2025

Parveen Kumar & anr.

... Petitioners

VERSUS

Jaibir & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. N.C. Kinra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Lakshya Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the orders dated 14.12.2020 (Annexure P-1) and dated 21.01.2021 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) Rohtak, whereby the evidence of the petitioners has been closed by Court order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, the petitioners are the plaintiffs and have filed a suit for permanent injunction and mandatory injunction and the delay in the proceedings would primarily prejudice the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners have already examined four witnesses and vide the impugned order, their evidence has been closed. It is submitted that the petitioners only pray for one effective opportunity to conclude their entire evidence at their own responsibility.



CR-201-2021(O&M)

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 has submitted that the petitioners have taken several opportunities to lead evidence and has been unnecessarily delaying the matter and in case, one effective opportunity would be granted to the petitioners then heavy costs should be imposed upon them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on the other hand, has submitted that in view of the objections raised by learned counsel for respondent No.3, the petitioners are ready to pay Rs.15,000/- as costs.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners as well for respondent No.3, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the impugned orders are set aside to the extent that the evidence of the petitioners has been closed by Court order and the petitioners are granted one last effective opportunity at their own responsibility to lead their entire evidence. The same would be, subject to the petitioners depositing an amount of Rs.15,000/- as costs within a period of three weeks from today by moving an appropriate application before the trial Court and on doing so, the said amount be released to respondent No.3/defendant No.3, who is a contesting party.

6. It is made clear that in case, the petitioners do not deposit the costs of Rs.15,000/-, the present revision petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

11.09.2025

monika

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No