






CRM-M-4173-2025

2

4. During the course of hearing on 07.03.2025, following order was passed:

“ Heard.

2. After considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it transpires that the allegations against the petitioner of having instigated the co-accused, who have fired in air. Admittedly, the case of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused Rahul and Deepak who had already been granted concession of bail vide order Annexure P-2 passed by this Court in CRM-M-48468-2022 and CRM-M-35244-2022. Admittedly, no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

3. In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case at this stage, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

4. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.

5. List on 26.03.2025.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 07.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 07.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating



CRM-M-4173-2025

3

Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

26.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |