

CRA-S-1822-SB-2007
Date of decision: 21.05.2025

...APPELLANT

V/S

...RESPONDENT

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate as *amicus curiae*
for the appellant.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present appeal is to set aside the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 13.08.2007 passed by learned Special Judge, Rupnagar, whereby, the appellant was convicted and sentenced for the offence punishable under Section 15 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter 'NDPS Act'), in the case stemming from FIR No.111 dated 07.07.2006 under Section 15/61/85 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Kurali.
2. The appellant was sentenced as mentioned below:

Offence	Sentence
Section 15 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985	Rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- and in default of payment of fine, to further undergo RI for 01 month.



3. Brief facts of the case are that on 07.07.2006, when ASI Ram Kishan along with other police officials was present at T-Point, Sisma, in connection with *nakabandi*, they joined independent witness Budh Ram. At about 5:15 PM, the appellant along with co-accused spotted coming on motor-cycle from the side of Chandigarh. On suspicion, they were apprehended. Upon search of plastic bag, 2 kgs of Poppy Husk was recovered. Subsequently, FIR (*supra*) was registered under Section 15 of the NDPS Act.

4. Learned *amicus curiae* for the appellant *inter alia* contends that the alleged recovery was made on 07.07.2006 by the investigating officer, however, the representative sample was sent for examination to the Forensic science Laboratory, Chandigarh on 13.07.2016 i.e. after an unexplained delay of six days. As such, possibility of tampering with the case property cannot be ruled out. Further, the mandatory safeguards provided under Section 50 of NDPS Act have not been complied with, which vitiates the entire proceedings and the same is discernible from the testimonies of PWs-1 and 2. Furthermore, the photographer, who clicked the photographs Ex.P-1 to P-7 at the spot and the independent witness Budh Ram have not been produced at the time of trial, as such, the conviction of the petitioner is liable to be set aside. Lastly, she submits that the appellant has already undergone total custody period of 04 months and 02 days, out of total sentence of one year, in the instant case and he is not involved in any other case.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel opposes the prayer of the appellant as the learned Court below has passed a well-reasoned judgment based on correct appreciation of evidence available on record as such, he does not deserve any leniency.



6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record with their able assistance, it transpires that the appellant was convicted for being in possession of 02 kgs of Poppy Husk, which falls under the purview of Section 15 of NDPS Act. As per his custody certificate, the appellant has already undergone total custody period of 04 months and 02 days, out of total sentence of one year, in the instant case and he is not involved in any other case. Since there is no minimum punishment prescribed under Section 15 of NDPS Act, for the non-commercial quantity this Court is of the opinion that it would be in the interest of justice, if the sentence awarded to the appellant is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

7. In ***Deo Narain Mandal vs. State of U.P. (2004) 7 SCC 257***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has opined that awarding of sentence is not a mere formality in criminal cases. When a minimum and maximum term is prescribed by the statute with regard to the period of sentence, a discretionary element is vested in the Court. Background of each case, which includes factors like gravity of the offence, manner in which the offence is committed, age of the accused, should be considered while determining the quantum of sentence and this discretion is not to be used arbitrarily or whimsically. After assessing all relevant factors, proper sentence should be awarded bearing in mind the principle of proportionality to ensure the sentence is neither excessively harsh nor does it come across as lenient.

8. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Ravada Sasikala vs. State of AP AIR 2017 SC 1166***, has reiterated that the imposition of sentence also serves a social purpose as it acts as a deterrent by making the accused realise the damage caused not only to the victim but also to the society at large. The



law in this regard is well settled that opportunities of reformation must be granted and such discretion is to be exercised by evaluating all attending circumstances of each case by noticing the nature of the crime, the manner in which the crime was committed and the conduct of the accused to strike a balance between the efficacy of law and the chances of reformation of the accused.

9. A perusal of the judgment of conviction passed by the learned trial Court indicates no perversity in its findings and the same is based on correct appreciation of evidence available on record. However, the FIR (*supra*) was lodged on 07.07.2006 and the appellant has been suffering the agony of trial for last more than 18 years. Since his conviction, he has grown into a law-abiding citizen and desires to live a peaceful life.

10. Therefore, in view of the discussion above, the present appeal is disposed of in the following terms:-

(i) The judgment dated 13.08.2007 passed by the learned Special Judge, Rupnagar is upheld.

(ii) The order of sentence of dated 13.08.2007 is modified to the extent that the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for one year and fine along with default mechanism awarded to the appellant is reduced to the period of sentence already undergone by him.

11. The High Court Legal Services Authority is directed to pay remuneration to the learned *Amicus Curiae* as per rules.

May 21, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No