



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2483-2020

Date of Decision : **24.01.2025**

MAMTA RANI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE SIRSA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. P.S.Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents no.1 and 2.

Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent no.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for quashing of the impugned enquiry report dated 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-3), submitted by the enquiry committee, as well as the order dated 25.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), passed by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Sirsa.

2. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy to assail the enquiry report (*supra*), which is impugned before this Court,

under the provisions of Section 18 of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal), Act, 2013, yet she has sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

3. Therefore, the present petition is a misconceived motion, which is consequently, **dismissed**. However, a liberty is granted to the present petitioner to avail alternate efficacious remedy, as available to her under the apt provision of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal), Act, 2013

4. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

January 24, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No