



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-2048-2025

Date of Decision: 27.01.2025

Bilasha Ram

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Tada, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to keep departmental proceedings in abeyance till the conclusion of criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 13 dated 18.04.2024 under Sections 7, 7A, 13(1)(b) and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and under Section 120-B of IPC, registered at P.S. ACB Karnal, Division Karnal, Haryana.
2. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana, at the outset, submits that police report in aforesaid FIR has already been filed.
3. The respondent cannot travel beyond the police report, thus, no prejudice is going to be caused to petitioner, if he leads his evidence in departmental proceedings. There is no substance in the apprehension expressed by the petitioner.
4. Dismissed.

27.01.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No