



CWP-2495-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(104)

CWP-2495-2022

Date of Decision : 08.07.2025

Baljinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 9 and 12.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No.15.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus, upon the respondents/State of Punjab, particularly to respondent No.6, to investigate the death of one, Krantibir Singh, who according to the petitioners, had died on account of overdose of drugs, and register an FIR, against the accused persons *qua* the death of Krantibir Singh, and further for grant of adequate compensation to the family of the victim/deceased.

2. Upon notice in the instant petition, reply dated 04.07.2025, by way of affidavit of Mr. Arpit Shukla, IPS, Special Director General of Police (Law and Order), Punjab, Chandigarh, on behalf of the respondent No.4, has been filed by learned counsel for the State, today in the Court. The same is

**CWP-2495-2022****2**

taken on record. Copy whereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. The study survey of the reply (supra), not only reveals about the conducting of an enquiry, but also voices about an FIR No.41 dated 27.04.2022, under Sections 304/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, was registered at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, Amritsar (Rural), against Sonu Singh, son of Sucha Singh, and Labh Singh, son of Mikhi Singh, both residents of village Nepal, Tehsil Ajnala, District Amritsar.

4. The reply (supra), further voices that the investigation in the FIR (supra), has already been concluded, and final report has been submitted to the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, on dated 15.01.2025, and even, the learned trial Court concerned, has also framed the charges against the accused persons. Furthermore, the departmental proceedings were also initiated against SI Harpal Singh, the then Station House Officer, and ASI Joginder Singh, Police Station Bhindi Saidan, Amritsar, vide Administrative Order dated 29.04.2022. Subsequently, SI Harpal Singh was found not guilty in the departmental proceedings, by the competent authority concerned, and the proceedings against the delinquent officer were dropped. However, the department proceedings against ASI Joginder Singh, is still under consideration.

5. The above disclosed facts, by the respondents, clearly reflects that one of the prayer of the petitioners, as made through the instant petition, has already been rendered infructuous, as an FIR has already been lodged, and a departmental action against the delinquent officer/official, has already been taken. The only grievance of the petitioners, which still survives, is grant of adequate compensation to the family of the victim/deceased.



CWP-2495-2022

3

6. At the very outset, this Court, grants liberty to the petitioners, to approach the competent authority/Court concerned, at the first instance, for grant of adequate compensation, under the apt provisions of law.

7. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with the liberty as aforesaid.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 08, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No