



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

310

CRM-M-9147-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.04.2024

Rohit Yadav and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. H.S. Bisht, Advocate
for the petitioners through video conferencing.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinod Kumar Singhmar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.55 dated 08.05.2024 under Section 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 30.12.2024.

2. The following order was passed on 18.02.2025:-

“XX XX XX XX

Notice of motion for 19.03.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Vinod Kumar Singhmar,



Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney***



(Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.55 dated 08.05.2024 under Section 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No