



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M No.3854 of 2025

Date of decision: 17.03.2025

SUMIT

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Kamal Mor and Mr. Ajay Pattar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, D.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.503 dated 28.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 406 and 506 of IPC, at Police Station City Gohana, District Sonipat.

2. Vide order dated 24.01.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner was granted interim bail and was directed to join investigation. Order dated 24.01.2025, passed by this Court, reads as under:

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short-‘BNSS’) by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No.503 dated 28.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 406 and 506 of IPC, at Police Station City Gohana, District Sonipat.

**CRM-M No.3854 of 2025****-2-**

The aforementioned FIR has been registered on the basis of a written statement got lodged by the complainant-Sudha making allegations that the present petitioner along with one Rajesh had sold a plot situated at village Gudha to her for a sum of ₹6 lakhs. Sale deed was got registered but subsequently, she came to know that said plot had already been sold to one Yogi. While alleging that the petitioner along with co-accused had caused wrongfully loss to her, she prayed for taking action against him. After registration of the FIR, investigation proceedings have been initiated and are underway.

It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. Civil litigation with regard to the same dispute has already been pending before the Civil Court. No case for cheating or committing breach of trust has been made out against him. He is ready to join investigation. His custodial interrogation is not required. No recovery is to be effected from him as the case is based on documentary evidence.

Notice of motion.

Learned State counsel who has appeared on advance notice of the petition, seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 07.03.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer to join investigation within one week or as and when subsequently required thereafter. In the



event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on ad-interim bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

It would, however, be open to the learned State counsel to file a reply indicating the involvement of the petitioner and all aspects would be considered at the time of final adjudication of the matter.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation on 09.02.2025 and he is not required for custodial interrogation.
5. Keeping in view the above mentioned facts and circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 24.01.2025, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to compliance of usual terms and conditions requisite for grant of anticipatory bail.

17.03.2025
Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No