



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-727-2016

Date of decision : 12.08.2025

Sukhchain Singh (Chain Singh) (now deceased) through LRs

... Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.S.P. Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kiranjeet Kaur, Advocate
for respondents no.1 to 4 and 11 (through V.C.).

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 08.01.2016 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Nakodar, vide which an application for permission to submit the certified copies of documents (which are already produced as photocopies) on file as Ex. P-2 to Ex.P-11 has been allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that initially only photocopies of the documents were produced and now certified copies of the said documents are sought to be produced in additional evidence without the proof of existence and loss of the original. It is submitted that




the petitioner is objecting to the said documents on the ground of admissibility as well as on the ground that the same have not been proved in accordance with law. It is submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of with the observations that the objection raised by the present petitioner, as noticed in paragraph 2 of the impugned order are considered at the stage of final arguments of the case.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that impugned order be upheld and the trial Court be directed to consider the objection raised by the petitioner at the time of final arguments after taking into consideration the entire evidence produced by both the parties.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents, the impugned order dated 08.01.2016 is upheld with the following observations / directions:-

- i) The objection raised by the petitioner as noticed in paragraph 2 of the impugned order including the objection with respect to admissibility of the certified copy / photocopy of the documents and also the same having not been proved in accordance with law would be considered at the final stage of arguments after taking into consideration the entire evidence produced by both the parties before the trial Court.
- ii) It would be relevant to note that this Court has not opined on the merits of the said objection and the trial Court would consider the



same independently at the time of final arguments, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 12, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No