



**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1826-2025

Date of Decision : 23-01-2025

HARDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Jaglana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Soloman Partap Singh, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Harpriya A Khaneka, Advocate
For respondent No.2-PSPCL.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Diploma in Lineman and Wiremen are same as the trade of Lineman itself includes both electrician/wiremen, further duration of both the courses are also same but the Diploma of the petitioner in Wiremen is not accepted by the respondents so as to treat the petitioner eligible, which is arbitrary and illegal hence, the respondents are liable to direct the petitioners who are eligible for the post of Assistant Lineman for all intents and purposes.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the

respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Soloman Partap Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State and Ms. Harpriya A Khaneka, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.-2-PSPCL and submits that in case, the representation dated 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

23-01-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO