

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3631-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Sajid Khan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.03 dated 06.01.2025 under Sections 191(3), 190, 115, 126, 324(5), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Initially, the petitioner was granted the concession of *ad interim* anticipatory bail by learned

2025:PHHC:009906




Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh on 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), however, vide order dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), without appreciating the fact that no role is attributed to the petitioner, the application filed by him for grant of anticipatory bail has been dismissed. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and he is ready to join the investigation.

3. *Per contra*, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made by the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is alleged to have fired three shots with the country-made pistol and after obtaining the concession of *ad interim* anticipatory bail from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, he threatened the complainant by uploading the messages and flaunting the weapon on social media, as such, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is imperative, as weapon of offence is yet to be recovered.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record of the case with their able assistance, it transpires that after obtaining the concession of *ad interim* anticipatory bail from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, the petitioner has misused the same, as he threatened the complainant by posting the messages and flaunting the pistol on social media. Recovery of weapon of offence is yet to be effected from the petitioner. The investigation is at the initial stage and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is imperative to conduct proper investigation.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case

2025:PHHC:009906



and also the allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court finds no ground to grant concession of anticipatory bail to him.

- 6. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.
- 7. All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

23.01.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No