



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CRM-M-4080-2025

Date of decision: 14.02.2025

M/s Sampoorana Feeds Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Global Broilers and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Pooja, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 04.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned CJM, Kapurthala, whereby transfer petition of the petitioner for transferring the case No.NACT/404/2020 titled as M/s Sampoorana Feeds Pvt. Ltd Vs. M/s Global Broilers/Poultry Products and another' dated 29.10.2020, has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that strangely, the learned CJM had chosen to transfer eight out of the 15 cases pending between the same parties under Section 138 of The Negotiable Instruments Act to a competent Court of jurisdiction in the same Sessions Division, however, qua the complaint in question, the prayer for transfer had been declined by the learned CJM.

3. On a pointed query, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that the cases in which the learned CJM had allowed the cases to be transferred, evidence was underway and even in the present case,



CRM-M-4080-2025

stage was the same i.e. recording of evidence was going on.

3. In addition, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has prayed that she would be satisfied if a direction is passed to the learned Trial Court to pass an appropriate order in terms of Annexure P-4 dated 13.12.2024 vide which some of the other cases between the same parties were ordered to be transferred to another Court of competent jurisdiction.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the relevant material on record.

5. The petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-4, wherein the Trial Court had acceded to the prayer of the petitioner to transfer the case to another Court of competent jurisdiction.

6. Given the limited relief sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the interest of justice would be best served if the instant petition, which relates to same transactions between the same parties, is transferred to the same Court, where the other petitions were previously transferred pursuant to order annexed as Annexure P-4.

7. Disposed of accordingly.

14.02.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No