



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3555-2025
Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Mahesh Dayani and othersPetitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS) seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties vide compromise/settlement deed dated 26.12.2024 (Annexure P-2). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
458	06.12.2017	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC	Sector 40, Gurugram, Haryana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/settlement deed dated 26.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which



386-CRM-M-3555-2025

is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, vide report dated 29.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that cost, so imposed, vide order dated 18.03.2025 has been deposited.

4. Respondents Nos. 2 to 4 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 458 dated 06.12.2017, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 40, Gurugram, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom,



386-**CRM-M-3555-2025**

are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

02.04.2025
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |