

2025:PHHC:062024



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-3583-2025

Date of decision: May 12, 2025

NAVDEEP SINGH @ LOVEPREET SINGH @ LOVE

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab with
Inspector Jagtar Singh.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.411, dated 14.11.2024, under Sections 304, 3(5), 61(2), 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station City Faridkot.
2. On 22.01.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that as per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the complainant had alleged that initially, he was unaware about the 04 unidentified persons, who had snatched him of his money, mobile handset and silver chain; however, subsequently, the complainant had learnt from his ‘own sources’ that the petitioner was one of the unidentified assailants. It has been submitted that there is a delay of 10 days in the registration of the FIR in question and when the delay is seen in the light of the bald allegations levelled against the petitioner, it clearly indicates false and baseless case having been registered against the petitioner.



On a pointed query as to whether the petitioner has any previous criminal antecedents, learned counsel for the petitioner has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 22.01.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 22.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

May 12, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*