



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP-2053-2022

Date of Decision : **December 19, 2025**

HIMANSHU RANJAN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present : Mr. Vishwanath Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 03.01.2021 (Annexure P-6) vide which, the claim made by the petitioner that he should be re-instated, has been rejected. It is further prayed in the writ petition that the respondents be directed to re-instate the petitioner in service with all consequential benefits.
2. The learned State counsel has filed the written statement on behalf of respondent No.1 in the Court today, which is taken on record.
3. A perusal of the written statement filed by respondents No.2 and 3 would show that the petitioner had submitted resignation on 27.04.2019 and the same was accepted by the respondents on 27.04.2019 itself.
4. Taking into consideration the abovesaid averments made by the respondents in the written statement, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that he does not want to press the present petition, however, with liberty to file representation before the respondents to consider his case for re-instatement/re-employment.

5. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed with liberty, as prayed for.

December 19, 2025
ajaysharma

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No