



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.214 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Order:07.02.2025

Sahil

.Appellant

Versus

Arun Kumar Dutt

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

C.M.No.615-C-2025

1. For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by affidavit, the delay of 44 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

2. CM stands disposed of.

MAIN

3. The defendant assails the correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below while decreeing the plaintiff's suit for recovery of Rs.48416/- as principal amount along with interest @ 6%.

4. The appellant is brother-in-law of the respondent(plaintiff). While filing the suit, the plaintiff claims that the defendant used to purchase the chemicals from the plaintiff on credit basis for his business at Ropar, however, he failed to clear the dues.

5. The defendant, on the other hand, contested the suit by claiming that the suit is counter blast to the FIR registered by the respondent's wife.

6. Upon appreciation of the evidence, both the courts have found that as per the evidence led by the plaintiff, an amount of Rs.48,416/- is due and payable because it is proved through various invoices that the appellant purchased goods from the respondent but never paid the amount.

6. Though, the learned counsel representing the appellant made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw the attention of the court to any substantive error in the reading of evidence.

7. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

8. Dismissed.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

February 07, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No