

325.

2025:PHHC:041434



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4142-2025

Date of decision: 26.03.2025

Vinod Kumar @ Ravi and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate, and
Ms. Shamli, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kapil Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. Namish Sodhi, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of DDR No.23, dated 04.05.2020 (Annexure P-2) and DDR No.16, dated 08.05.2020 (Annexure P-3), under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506 and 34 of IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron vide DDR No.16, dated 08.05.2020), as cross case in FIR No.71, dated 03.05.2020, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 08.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 24.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 25.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant/respondents No.2 to 5 have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the DDR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved persons in the DDR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid DDR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 26, 2025

sanjeev Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
 Whether reportable: Yes/No