



CWP-2065-2020

1

228 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2065-2020
Date of decision: 27.10.2025

RANDHIR SINGH LAMBRA**....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, Assistant A.G. Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J (Oral):

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for grant of the following reliefs:

(a) continuity in service with pay protection and to fix the salary of the petitioner as per fifth and sixth pay commissions from 01.01.1996 to 31.12.1999 notionally and from 01.01.2000 to 30.04.2012 actually; and after fixing salary on the basis of last pay drawn so arrived:

(i) Allow payment of arrears of pay
Rs.7,54,652/-.

(ii) Allow payment of arrears of pension
Rs.5,00,095/-

(iii) Allow payment of balance gratuity
Rs.3,97,529/-

(iv) Allow payment of leave encashment
Rs.3,87,792/-

(b) allow refund of sum of Rs.1,99,776/- recovered from pension on account of contract service rendered in HERC



after retirement from May 2012 to April 2013 on consolidated salary;

(c) allow refund of sum of Rs.5515/- already recovered by HSMITC on account of excess payment of EPF along with interest;

(d) Allow refund of Rs.15,480/- which was earned as EPF after retirement during contract service from May 2012 to April 2013;

(e) Allow interest on salary Rs.22,569/- for the month of April 2013 retained illegally by HERC from May 2013 to January 2017;

(f) allow interest at the same rate on all payments of arrears etc. as is charged by HERC.

It is further prayed that a writ in the nature of *Certiorari* be issued for quashing of the order dated 04.04.2019 (Annexure P-25) whereby the claims of the petitioner have been rejected illegally and arbitrarily.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-24) of the petitioner is decided by the respondent by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the respondent for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-24) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further,

**CWP-2065-2020****3**

the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondent.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

6. All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

27.10.2025

monika

- | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes /No |