

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4296-2025
Date of decision: 03.03.2025

VIKESH KUMAR AND ORS ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Piyush Setia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate and
Mr. Inderjeet Chawla, Advocate for
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 06.04.2024 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Fazilka in Sessions Case No.155 of 2022 dated 05.07.2022 (Annexure P-2), on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 10.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
75	13.06.2021	379-B, 323, 201, 34 of IPC	Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit

**CRM-M-4296-2025****2**

10.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Abohar, vide report dated 19.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.30,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 03.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Abohar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Ramgopal And Another Vs State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 SCC Online SC 834 ” , “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 75 dated 13.06.2021 under Sections 379-B, 323, 201, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment of conviction and



CRM-M-4296-2025

3

order of sentence dated 06.04.2024, are hereby quashed on the basis of
compromise qua the present petitioners, are hereby quashed on the basis of
compromise qua the present petitioners.

03.03.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |