

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225/1

CRM-M-5317-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 01.07.2025

TARSEM SINGH AND ORS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate for

Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise/settlement dated 21.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
45	05.05.2018	325, 323, 148, 149 and 427 IPC	Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated
21.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to
report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hoshiarpur, vide report dated 13.06.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.25,000/- in compliance to the order dated 21.05.2025.

3. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hoshiarpur and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.45 dated 05.05.2018 under Sections 325, 323, 148, 149 and 427 of IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.07.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No