

2025:PHHC:018447



200 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-310-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:-06.02.2025

Shakuntla Rani

....Petitioner..

VS.

Nidhi Seena, Block Development and Panchayat Officer
and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the necessary work with respect to repair of sewerage line shall be done within a period of one week from today.

Learned State counsel assures that in any case, if the Panchayat authority needs any kind of help, it shall be provided as per the requirement, at the earliest.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed. Rule stands discharged.
4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in such eventuality, the erring concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.
5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

06.02.2025
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/ No