



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3582 of 2025
Date of decision: 23rd January, 2025

Vikram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.178 dated 10.07.2024 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 of the IPC (Sections 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Kaithal Sadar, District Kaithal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR (Annexure P-1), on the allegations that the petitioner, in collusion with other co-accused, committed fraud and cheating by extracting ₹1.50 lacs from the complainant under the pretense of securing government employment for them.

3. It is submitted that the allegations in the FIR are unfounded, and this claim is further supported by the fact that the petitioner and the complainant were not only colleagues but also friends, as they had been

working together at the CSC Centre. The learned counsel has still further argued that due to this amicable relationship, the complainant voluntarily transferred a sum of money into the account of the petitioner, allegedly for the purpose of transferring it to another individual, who has also now been named as a co-accused in the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further asserts that while the allegations suggest that the petitioner introduced the complainant to the other co-accused on the pretext of securing government employment, his involvement was purely based on his personal relationship with the co-accused Sanjeev and Nancy, with no mala fides attached.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the relevant material on record.

6. Upon perusal of the allegations in the FIR (Annexure P-1), it prima facie appears that the petitioner, along with the co-accused, orchestrated a job scam. The accused allegedly created a forged email account resembling the official email ID of the Kaushal Rojgar Scheme. Using this fake email ID, the accused sent a fabricated employment offer letter to the complainant for the position of a Computer Operator, deceiving the complainant into believing it was a legitimate government job offer.

7. The petitioner prima facie appears to be a part of a criminal conspiracy where innocent persons were induced to part with large sum of money under the false assurance of securing job.

8. Given the serious and specific nature of these allegations, custodial interrogation of the accused would be necessitated to uncover the full extent of the conspiracy, particularly in light of the widespread prevalence of similar frauds.

9. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed in limine. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No