



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3422-2025
Date of decision: 10.02.2025

RAHUL KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Varun Singh Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Kamboj, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
165	29.10.2024	406 of IPC	Baghapurana, District Moga.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, vide report dated 05.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at



CRM-M-3422-2025

2

between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 22.01.2025.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 165 dated 29.10.2024 under Sections 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

10.02.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |