



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-3791-2025

Decided on : 28.01.2025

Sudhir Kumar Jandhra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, in FIR No. 87, dated 17.05.2023, registered at Police Station City Fazilka, District Fazilka, during the pendency of trial.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that allegation against the petitioner is that the sale deed has been signed by the petitioner, which was infact prepared at the instance of her husband namely; Ashwani Ahuja. Counsel further submits that the FIR was registered after a delay of more than two years and seven months because the registration of sale deed was done on 18.09.2020 and present FIR was registered on 17.05.2023. Counsel also submits that unnecessarily civil dispute has been given color of a criminal dispute. Counsel also submits that the petitioner is in custody since 15.11.2024 and subject matter is still under investigation and nothing has been recovered from him, thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is involved in a case of

**CRM-M-3791-2025****- 2 -**

fraud, which infact is a crime against society, therefore, petitioner does not deserve any leniency, hence, prays for dismissal of the present petition.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and noticing the facts pleaded in the present petition and the fact that the offences are triable by the Magistrate, and also that petitioner is in custody since 15.11.2024, this Court allows the prayer made in the present petition. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in this case, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

5. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

6. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence available on record.

7. It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.

Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 28,2025
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No