



CRM-M-3668-2025 (O&M)

1

294 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3668-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2025

KARAN AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Shivani Jaglan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Rishabh Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.350 dated 26.12.2018 under Sections 447/506/34/448 of IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 23.01.2025:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.350 dated 26.12.2018 under Sections 447/506/34/448 of IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 24.02.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate accepts notice for respondent



No.2 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.350 dated 26.12.2018 under Sections 447/506/34/448 of IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.02.2025

Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>