



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3341-2025

Date of Decision: 21.05.2025

Kuldeep Singh @ Deepa Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.69, dated 28.09.2024, under Sections 419,420,465,467,468,471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Block Majri, District SAS Nagar, Mohali (Annexure P-1).
2. Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant by filing his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.
3. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 19.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court:-

The counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case, as is he is not beneficiary in any manner with regard to agreement to sell in question executed by co-accused Sikander Singh in favour of the complainant with regard to sale of his land. It is further submitted

that in order to show his bonafide, the petitioner is ready to deposit certain token amount with the Court concerned. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation with the police.

The counsel appearing on behalf of the State as well as counsel for the complainant have argued that in this case, someone else impersonated himself as Sikander Singh, owner of the land. That substantive amount out of the sale consideration was deposited in the bank account of the present petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner actively participated in the fraud in question. The State counsel further apprised the Court that the petitioner is required by the police for the purpose of proper investigation.

Undoubtedly, petitioner is not signatory to the agreement to sell in question. However, there are allegations that out of the disputed amount, certain money had gone to the bank account of the present petitioner. So, apparently there appears to be some money dispute between the petitioner and complainant.

In view of above, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is to be released on interim bail by the investigating officer/arresting officer to his own satisfaction till the next date fixed in this case, subject to deposit of Rs.4 lac by the petitioner with the Illaqa Magistrate concerned, within a period of next 15 days. The petitioner is also to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. The aforesaid deposit will be subject to final decision in the present petition. The receipt regarding the deposit is to be produced on the next date of hearing”.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 19.02.2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.4 lacs with the Illaqua Magistrate/Duty Magistrate and has joined the investigation.

5. A status report by way of an affidavit of Inspector Sunil Kumar Sharma, Station House Officer, Police Station Block Majri, District SAS Nagar, Mohali has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

7. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 19.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

21.05.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No