



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-1575-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 22.01.2025

Sandeep Kumar and Others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned Senior counsel states that the pay of the petitioners could not have been reduced as their scale was prescribed in terms of the statutory rules and as per the law laid down in **Ajay Kumar Singla and Others vs. State of Punjab and Others**, CWP-17064-2017, decided on 16.02.2023 along with another connected petitions, wherein the executive instructions could not modify the same and the persons, who were selected along with the petitioners, however, appointed prior thereto, granted the scale as per the statutory rules and there is no modification made and after the appointment, those who were promoted on the post held by the petitioners, are also drawing the same pay as per the statutory rules. The issue has already been decided by the Division Bench of this Court in **Saurabh Sharma and Others vs. State of Punjab and Another**, CWP-15896-2023, decided on 13.09.2024 along with other connected petitions. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioners prays that a detailed representation would be submitted, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel sought instructions and thus states that in case, a representation is filed, within a period of 4 weeks, the same be decided by respondent No.1, keeping in view the aforesaid submissions and the grounds taken in the representation as also the afore-referred judgments, within a further period of 4 months, which satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioners are found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to them and shall contain reason, whereupon the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

22.01.2025  
M.Kamra

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |