



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

...Respondent(s)

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 15.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of



the compromise arrived at between the parties. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dera Bassi, vide report dated 04.02.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 23.01.2025, an amount of Rs.30,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of the Blind and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dera Bassi and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 325 dated 10.11.2021, under Sections 420 & 120-B IPC, registered at Dera Bassi, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

18.02.2025

atulsethi

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No