



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-4463-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.11.2025

Amitoj Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Savi Nagpal, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-4130-2023

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Main case:

1. The instant petition has been filed for directing respondent No.3 to conclude the inquiry into the application dated 27.02.2022 bearing UID No.2259702 marked to him by the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana and register an FIR against respondents No.6 to 10 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner had submitted an application for registration of a criminal case against the accused persons who were all members of a firm i.e. M/s. BTL International, carrying on business in the property measuring 3720 sq. yards, situated on Sua Road, Dhandari Kalan, Tehsil and District Ludhiana.

The aforesaid property was purchased by the said firm vide sale deed bearing Wasika No. 3583 dated 14.06.2019 executed by accused/Balwinder

Singh. The petitioner had invested a sum of Rs.27 lakh in the said Firm and Rs.14 lakh had been transferred by his father as a loan in the said Firm. Other persons had also invested different amounts in the said Firm. At the time when the petitioner took over as the partner of the said Firm, it transpired that respondents No.7 to 10 had given some power to accused/Balwinder Singh regarding the account No.6664319280 of the Firm maintained in the Indian Bank and he had been authorized to carry out online operations from the account. However, notwithstanding that the said partnership had come to an end and a fresh authorization was required to be given by the petitioner(s), the accused took undue advantage of the earlier authorization and carried out unlawful transactions in the account. The same resulted into submission of a complaint by the petitioner to the police. As no action was taken thereon, the present petition has been filed for seeking directions to conclude the inquiry in a time bound manner.

3. State counsel on instructions from SHO Sukhkaran Singh, SI, Police Station Division No.1, Ludhiana, informs this Court that the inquiry into the said application has already been conducted and the same was ordered to be filed on 15.03.2024.

4. In view of the above, no further cause of action survives in the present petition and thus the same is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to take alternative remedies in accordance with law.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

11.11.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No