



238 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3526-2025

Date of Decision: 04.02.2025

Sahil Rana

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Deepanshu Bansal, Advocate with
 Mr. Sajal Bansal, Advocate and
 Mr. Durgesh Dixit, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.522 dated 27.11.2024 registered under Sections 61(2) & 303 of BNS 2023, Sections 7 and 8 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, Section 21 of Mines and Minerals (Regulation of Development) Act, 1957, at Police Station Chandimandir, Panchkula.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was initially registered against co-accused, namely, Ramsharan @ Chotu, Amardeep, Adesh, Chajja Singh, Romi Patiala etc., who were allegedly committing the offence of mining in the area of Police Station Chandimandir and the mining operations were being conducted by the accused in connivance with higher police officials including HGH Deepak. He further contends that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor any specific role was assigned




to him. The petitioner was wrongly arrested on 04.12.2024 and is in custody since then. Learned counsel further submits that the investigation against him has already been completed and the challan has been presented before the competent Court of law. Moreover, his co-accused, namely, Jaspreet Singh @ Romi Patiala, Chajja Singh and Deepak Sharma, HGH have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-7).

3. On the other hand, status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 04.12.2024 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Further, Jaspreet Singh @ Romi Patiala, Chajja Singh and Deepak Sharma, HGH, co-accused have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-7). Moreover, Adesh Kumar and Amardeep, co-accused have been granted the concession of regular bail, by this Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his



furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty
Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

04.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No