



110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-572-2025
DECIDED ON: 22.01.2025

NAMRTA AND ANRPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Himanshu Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.5 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied in case a direction be issued to respondent No.3 to look into the representation dated 14.01.2025 (Annexure P-5), within a time bound manner.

In view of the above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3/ Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 14.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two weeks by passing a speaking order.

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.01.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No