



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRWP-798-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.01.2025**

M/s Prem Bansal Real Estate (P) Ltd. through its Director Subhash Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to register a case against the accused persons for offences under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023 and other relevant provisions of law for cheating, forgery, criminal conspiracy etc.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite a complaint/representation having been made to the authorities concerned, the official respondents have been sitting over the matter and till date have not proceeded in accordance with law against the accused persons. After arguing for some time, learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to the official respondents, to look into complaint/representation



CRWP-798-2025 (O&M)

(Annexure P-2), decide the same in the light of ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979***, and take appropriate steps.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali, is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner, decide the same at the earliest and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979***.

6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

27.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No