



RA-CW-43-2025 in
CWP-4363-2021

-1-

132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-43-2025 in
CWP-4363-2021
Date of Decision: 30.01.2025**

Amarjeet Singh Talwar and others

..... Applicants/Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Non-applicants/Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present application has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Order 47 Rule 1 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure for review of the order dated 17.12.2024 passed by this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants/petitioners submitted that only limited prayer in the present application is that while allowing the writ petition on 17.12.2024, interest @ 6% per annum (simple) has been granted. He further submitted that it is a case where the applicants/petitioners were granted relief based upon the relief which has been granted to similarly situated persons, who had filed different writ petitions before this Court and who have been granted interest @ 8% per annum (simple) and even the State had also generalized with regard to the persons, who have been granted interest @8% per annum (simple). He further submitted that the only limited



**RA-CW-43-2025 in
CWP-4363-2021**

-2-

prayer in the present application is that instead of 6% per annum (simple) interest, the same may be made @ 8% per annum (simple) so as to be at par with the other similarly situated persons, who have been granted 8% per annum (simple) interest.

3. Notice in the application.

4. Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents/State.

5. At this stage, Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No.3 and states that so far as the factum of grant of interest @ 8% per annum (simple) to the other similarly situated persons, who were also granted relief in different writ petitions, is concerned, the same cannot be disputed.

6. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the considered view that considering the parity aspect, the applicants/petitioners cannot be put to disadvantage for not granting interest @ 8% per annum. Consequently, the present application is allowed. It is directed that in the operative part of the order dated 17.12.2024, wherein it has been so observed that the applicants/petitioners shall be entitled to interest @6% per annum (simple) shall be substituted as 8% per annum (simple) to bring him at par with the other similarly situated persons, who have been granted the aforesaid interest @8% per annum (simple).

30.01.2025
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No