



CRM-M-3329-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-3329-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.01.2025

Ajay Kumar @ Monu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhry, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending
trial to the petitioner in FIR No.0628 dated 13.10.2024, registered under
Sections 115, 118(1), 191(3), 351(3), 117(2), 118(2) read with Section 190
of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused in
prosecution of their common object caused grievous injuries to the brothers
of *de facto* complainant with sharp edged weapons.

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2024; final
report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has already been presented on 02.01.2025;
charges were framed by the learned trial Court on 08.01.2025 and out of
total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far; thus,
conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the
prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in



CRM-M-3329-2025 2

nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.

- 5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
- 6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented on 02.01.2025 and out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none is examined till date. As the conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
- 7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
- 8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
- 9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
- 10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

27.01.2025 (MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs) JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No