



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(281)

CR-5002-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 27.11.2025

Sukh Chander Kadian

....Petitioner

Versus

Commissioner, Commissionerate Circle, Rohtak and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

resent:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paper-book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the petitioner. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Today also, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to inform the Court as to whether the petitioner wishes to pursue the present revision petition or not.

2. Accordingly, the main revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case he wants to pursue the present revision petition or in case any cause survives.

3. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the petitioner at the address given in the memo of parties.

November 27, 2025

naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No