



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6193-2004(O&M)

Date of decision : 27.10.2025

Sham Lal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Amar Nath and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Tushar Gera, Advocate
for respondent no.8.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 15.11.2017, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr.Zorawar Singh, Advocate for
Mr.N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner(s).*

*Mr.Sanjay Tangri, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.*

*Mr.Jitender K.Sehrawat, Advocate
for respondents No.3, 6, 9, 10 & 13.*

*Mr.Shakti Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.4.*

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states



that he was unable to contact respondent No.1 as he is residing abroad. He further submits that the stay was vacated in 2013 and the petition has become infructuous as the decree had been executed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to verify this fact.

*Adjourned to 29.01.2018.
November 15, 2017”*

- 2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioners to rebut the said aspect.
- 3. Learned counsel for respondent no.8 has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition has been rendered infrutuous and be disposed of.
- 4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the same in case any cause still survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 27, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No