

2025:PHHC:025543



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-3254-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.02.2025

Amit Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate
and Mr. Kanwar Abhay Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.0351 dated 29.04.2017 under Sections 148/149/323/324/341/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Model Town, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 21.01.2025:-

“XX XX XX XX

Notice of motion for 21.02.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Rajesh Jangra, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise.

2025:PHHC:025543



Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.

In the meantime, further proceedings before the learned trial Court shall remain stayed.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0351 dated 29.04.2017 under Sections 148/149/323/324/341/506 of IPC registered

2025:PHHC:025543



at Police Station Model Town, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

21.02.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No