



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 262 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.02.2025

Sanjeev Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Dharampal, Advisor to the Administrator,
U.T., Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Suverna Mutneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, APP, U.T., Chandigarh with
Ms. Eliza Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents relies upon a communication dated 17.02.2025, which has even been handed over to the learned counsel for petitioner and contends that the property in question stands restored in favour of the petitioner subject to clearance / revalidation of Demand Draft No. 572199, dated 02.04.2024, amounting to Rs.26,85,605/- and Demand Draft No. 572200, dated 08.04.2024, amounting to Rs. 1,18,187/- as well as the Audit. He further submits that the aforesaid exercise shall be subject to final outcome of the Review Application bearing ***RA-CW-65-2025***, titled ***“Asha Rani and others Versus U.T., Chandigarh and others”***, preferred at the instance of respondents-Department.

[2] In view of the above, no cause survives in the present contempt petition, the same is **disposed off** accordingly.

[3] The petitioner would be at liberty to revive the present contempt petition in case occasion so arise(s).

[4] Rule stands discharged.

[5] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

February 17, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>