



CRM-M-2964-2025 (O&M)

1

232

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-2964-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.02.2025

SAIYAD MOHAMMAD ZEESHAN AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Anmol Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Akshay Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0021 dated 15.02.2024 under Section 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime NIT, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 20.01.2025:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0021 dated 15.02.2024 under Section 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime NIT, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 21.02.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of



compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0021 dated 15.02.2024 under Section 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Cyber Crime NIT, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

21.02.2025

Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>