



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CWP-1557-2025

Date of Decision: 21.01.2025

Suman Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and
Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the statutory authority concerned i.e. co-respondent No.2 seized with the appeal (Annexure P-3) as moved by the petitioner be directed to expeditiously decide the same.

2. Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, appearing for the State of Haryana, submits that though the petitioner seeks the passing of a *mandamus* qua the supra to expeditiously, decide the petitioner's statutory appeal, which is subjudice before co-respondent No.2. Nonetheless, the learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, submits that the said subjudice appeal was to be, rather, instituted before co-respondent No.1.

3. As such, co-respondent No.2 is directed to transfer the statutory appeal from his docket to the docket of co-respondent No.1. Subsequently,



co-respondent No.1 is directed to, within a period of three months from today, pass a speaking decision on the said subjudice statutory appeal, but after hearing all the affected persons concerned.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

January 21, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No