



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

210/2

CRM-M-3189-2025

Date of decision: March 19<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Kuldeep and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Assistant Advocate General,  
Haryana.

Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.180 dated 27.03.2024 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 341, 427, 506 of the IPC (Section 379-B of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station HTM, Hisar, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 21.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 20.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar, in pursuance of the directions of

this Court. However, it has been brought to the notice of this Court by the counsel for the State as well as counsel for the complainant that one victim namely Satya Narayan, is not a party to the present petition although the compromise stands effected with him as well. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that since the complainant has compromised the matter with all the accused, including victim Satya Narayan (who is not a party to the present petition), he would have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed qua all the accused.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

6. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 19<sup>th</sup>, 2025  
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No