



218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3005-2025**Date of Decision: 24.01.2025**

Puran Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Manish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.165 dated 18.07.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5), 333, 351(2) & subsequently added Section 109(1) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case by the complainant, which was registered after a delay of about more than 24 hours. He further contends that in the present case, Bir Singh, injured had suffered four injuries in the incident and out of four injuries, two injuries have been declared as simple, whereas two other injuries have been declared to be dangerous to life. He further contends that even the doctor has wrongly declared as injuries No.1 and 2 as dangerous to life. Learned counsel further contends that injured in the present case has already been discharged from the hospital and after conclusion of the



investigation, challan has been presented against the petitioner before the competent Court. The petitioner is in custody for the last about 05 months and no witness has been examined so far. Thus, there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial. He further contends that the petitioner is the first offender and was never involved in any other criminal activity.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody for the last more than 05 months and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. However, no witness has been examined so far by the prosecution. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

24.01.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No