

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3119-2025  
Date of decision: 20.02.2025

TEJINDER SINGH

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rahul, Advocate for  
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                                                      | Police Station                         |
|---------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 52      | 20.07.2024 | 127 (2), 137 (2), 115 (2), 351 (2), 351 (3), 110 of BNS, 2023 | Sri Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar. |

2. Status report dated 19.02.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 31.01.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has



CRM-M-3119-2025

2

already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 31.01.2025.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kashmiri Lal, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation. He further points out that during the course of investigation, offences under Sections 127 (2), 137 (2) and 110 of BNS had been deleted in the present case and the offences under Section 127 (4), 140(3), 318 (4) and 3 (5) of BNS and Section 32 of NDPS Act have been added in the FIR.

5. Keeping in view the submissions made by learned State counsel apprising about the deletion and incorporation of certain offences as aforesaid, the same are ordered to be incorporated in the head note as well as in the prayer clause of the main petition. Registry to do the needful.

6. During the course of hearing on 31.01.2025, following order was passed:

*“2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and having no other criminal antecedents has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that as per the allegations of the prosecution, the petitioner was working as helper in the store of one Minku who was running a De-addiction Centre. He contends that the petitioner has not participated in the alleged occurrence nor any specific overt act is attributed to him even he has not caused any injury.*

*3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that all other co-accused have been granted bail, i.e. Balpreet Singh, vide order dated 03.12.2024, passed in CRM-M-45078-2024, ‘Balpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab’; Hardeep Singh, vide order*



CRM-M-3119-2025

3

*dated 03.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-45079-2024, 'Hardeep Singh vs. State of Punjab'. He has also placed on record copy of the order dated 20.12.2024 whereby the co-accused, Simma @ Simarpreet Singh, was granted bail vide CRM-M-63415-2024, 'Simma vs. Simarpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab'.*

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and has not disputed the factual matrix of the case qua grant of bail to the co-accused. He prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 20.02.2025.*

7. *Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*

8. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).*

9. *Investigating Officer (concerned) to remain present in Court along with relevant records on the date fixed."*

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 31.01.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 31.01.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating



CRM-M-3119-2025 4

Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 8. The petition stands allowed.
- 9. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

20.02.2025  
kanika

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |