

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 3814 of 1988 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 21, 2014

Smt. Partap Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Parshad, DAG, Haryana.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners says that he has no instructions from the petitioners. He states that he has the information that the petitioners themselves have expired. The petition is dismissed in default for non-prosecution but with liberty to seek for restoration, if the rights survives to any other person and they could be brought on record as legal representatives to prosecute the writ petition.

May 21, 2014
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE