

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-3237-2025
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

YAMAN AHUJAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Sunny Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Yaman Ahuja (aged about 28 years)	508	08.08.2024	420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of IPC	Chandni Bagh	Panipat

2. On 21.01.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 34 of IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No.508, dated 08.08.2024, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Distt. Panipat.



- 2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been unnecessarily dragged in the present criminal case. He further contends that no vehicle owner would knowingly possess a fake insurance policy, as it would be of no help/benefit in case any claim is raised by vehicle's owner ever in future. Therefore, in fact, investigation conducted by the Investigating Officer is in wrong direction, and rather Investigating Officer should focus to search out the accused, who had issued the said policy to the petitioner.

3. Broadly petitioner's counsel submits that, in fact, it is the petitioner, who has been defrauded by the person/agency, by issuing him forged/fake insurance policy.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Amandeep Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks time to give clarification on the submission addressed by the petitioner.

6. Adjourned to 20.03.2025.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Besides, petitioner would submit/surrender his passport before the Arresting Officer and an undertaking on oath that in case of leaving the Country, he would seek prior permission from the Investigating Agency/concerned Court.

9. The concerned Investigating Officer (IO) shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing to explain the circumstances along with status report.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 21.01.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the



- 3-

investigation, and has fully co-operated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 21.01.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

22.04.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No